

Chiral symmetry and many-body nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons

Q. P. Xu and A. N. Kamal

*Theoretical Physics Institute and Physics Department**University of Alberta**Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 2J1*

We suggest that, similar to the many applications of chiral effective Lagrangian made recently to semileptonic decays of heavy hadrons involving Goldstone bosons, chiral symmetry can also be applied to many-body nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons. As examples we establish the chiral effective Hamiltonian for some typical many-body nonleptonic decays of bottom hadrons. We discuss the lowest-order contributions coming from such a Hamiltonian. We emphasize that wide applications of this method are possible.

1. Introduction

Recently, there has been progress in establishing a chiral perturbation theory incorporating heavy quark symmetry to study the interactions of heavy hadrons with Goldstone bosons [1–3]. This method has been applied to various processes, in particular, to semileptonic decays such as $B \rightarrow \pi e \bar{\nu}$, $B \rightarrow D \pi e \bar{\nu}$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi e \bar{\nu}$ [1–4]. Though the Goldstone bosons in these decays are quite energetic in most kinematic region, there is always a region of the Dalitz-plot where the Goldstone bosons are soft and where it is possible to apply chiral symmetry.

In this letter, we point out the possibility of similar applications of chiral effective Lagrangians to many-body nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons involving Goldstone bosons. As far as we know, little attention has been paid to this type of applications. In [5], two-body heavy-flavor-conserving nonleptonic decays of charmed baryons has been studied using the chiral effective Lagrangian. Here we look at the heavy-flavor-changing nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons. In two-body nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons, the Goldstone boson momenta are fixed and are quite large. However, in three(or more)-body nonleptonic decays, there is also always a region where the Goldstone bosons involved have low energies. Chiral symmetry can be applied in this region. Among the hadronic decays there are many such examples, for instance, $B \rightarrow DD_s \pi$, $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c DK$ etc.

2. Chiral effective Lagrangian for heavy hadrons

The chiral effective Lagrangian for heavy hadrons containing one heavy quark has been studied by several authors [1–3,6].

The lowest-order chiral effective Lagrangian of heavy hadrons (meson and baryon) which incorporate both heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry is [1–3,6]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(0)} = & -i Tr_D (\bar{H}_i v \cdot D H_i) + g_1 Tr_D (\bar{H}_i H_j \mathcal{A}_{ji} \gamma_5) \\ & -i Tr_G (\bar{B}_6^\mu v \cdot D B_{6\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} i Tr_G (\bar{B}_3 v \cdot D B_3) \end{aligned}$$

Higher-order terms which break the chiral symmetry and heavy quark symmetry can be added systematically [1,6]. However, here we work only in the leading order in both the Goldstone momentum and $1/m_Q$ expansions (m_Q is the heavy flavor mass). The notation in (1) is the following: For meson field H_i with heavy quark Q (i is the light-quark index, the so-called “Goldstone index”),

$$(H_1, H_2, H_3) = (Q\bar{u}, Q\bar{d}, Q\bar{s}) \quad (2)$$

H_i is also a 4×4 matrix with respect to the Dirac index (See, for example, [1])

$$H_i = \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} (-P_i \gamma_5 + P_{i\mu}^* \gamma^\mu) \quad (3)$$

where P_i and $P_{i\mu}^*$ are the pseudoscalar and vector meson fields with velocity v . For D-mesons, $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (D^0, D^+, D_s^+)$ and $(P_1^*, P_2^*, P_3^*) = (D^{0*}, D^{+*}, D_s^{+*})$. For B-mesons, $(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (B^-, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0)$ and $(P_1^*, P_2^*, P_3^*) = (B^{-*}, \bar{B}^{0*}, \bar{B}_s^{0*})$. $\bar{H}_i$ is defined as

$$\bar{H}_i = \gamma_0 H_i^\dagger \gamma_0, \quad \bar{H}_i = (P_i^\dagger \gamma_5 + P_{i\mu}^{*\dagger} \gamma^\mu) \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} \quad (4)$$

Tr_D implies a trace over Dirac indices and Tr_G that over the Goldstone indices. The covariant derivative, D^μ , is defined as

$$D^\mu H_i = \partial^\mu H_i - H_j V_{ji}^\mu \quad (5)$$

with

$$V^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\xi^+ \partial^\mu \xi + \xi \partial^\mu \xi^+) . \quad (6)$$

The axial vector field A_μ in (1) is

$$A^\mu = \frac{i}{2} (\xi^+ \partial^\mu \xi - \xi \partial^\mu \xi^+) . \quad (7)$$

ξ is the 3×3 matrix for Goldstone bosons:

$$\xi = \sqrt{\Sigma}, \quad \Sigma = \exp\left(\frac{2iM}{f}\right), \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with $f \simeq 0.132\text{GeV}$.

The third to the last term in (1) represent interactions associated with heavy baryons containing a single heavy quark. Single heavy quark baryons can be classified by their light quark content. The two light quarks can be either in a symmetric $SU(3)$ sextet 6 with spin 1 or in a antisymmetric triple $\bar{3}$ with spin 0. Thus antitriplet baryon field $B_{\bar{3}}$ has spin 1/2 while sextet baryon field B_6 has spin either 1/2 or 3/2. With respect to Goldstone index, $B_{\bar{3}}$ and B_6 are 3×3 matrices. For charmed baryons, for instance,

$$B_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^+ \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_6^{(c)}(spin 1/2) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma^{++} & \frac{\Sigma_c^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\Xi_c^{+'}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\Sigma_c^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Sigma_c^0 & \frac{\Xi_c^{0'}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\Xi_c^{+'}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\Xi_c^{0'}}{\sqrt{2}} & \Omega_c^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

For spin 3/2 sextet baryons the matrix is similar. It is sometimes convenient to express antitriplet baryons as

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} B_{\bar{3}jk} \quad \text{or} \quad B_{\bar{3}ij} = T_k \epsilon_{ijk} \quad (10)$$

Thus $T_1^{(c)} = \Xi_c^0$, $T_2^{(c)} = -\Xi_c^+$ and $T_3^{(c)} = \Lambda_c^+$ for charmed baryons.

With respect to Dirac index, $B_{\bar{3},6}$ are 4×4 matrices. The spin 1/2 and 3/2 sextet baryon fields with the same quark content can be combined together:

$$B_6^\mu = \frac{\gamma_\mu + v_\mu}{\sqrt{3}} \gamma_5 B_6(1/2) + B_6^\mu(3/2) \quad (11)$$

A Dirac index μ for sextet baryons is needed to indicate the spin of light quarks [7]. In this letter, however, we sometimes omit this index for simplicity. The covariant derivative D^μ acts on baryon fields similarly to (5):

$$D^\mu B_{\bar{3},6} = \partial^\mu B_{\bar{3},6} + V^\mu B_{\bar{3},6} + B_{\bar{3},6} V^{\mu T} \quad (12)$$

Under chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ transformation, the various quantities given above transform as:

$$\begin{aligned}
H &\rightarrow HU^+, \quad \bar{H} \rightarrow U\bar{H} \\
B_{\bar{3},6} &\rightarrow UB_{\bar{3},6}U^T, \quad \bar{B}_{\bar{3},6} \rightarrow U^{T+}\bar{B}_{\bar{3},6}U^+ \\
\xi &\rightarrow L\xi U^+ = U\xi R^+, \quad \xi^+ \rightarrow U\xi^+ L^+ = R\xi^+ U^+ \\
D^\mu H &\rightarrow (D_\mu H)U^+, \quad D^\mu \bar{B}_{\bar{3},6} \rightarrow U(D^\mu \bar{B}_{\bar{3},6})U^T, \quad A^\mu \rightarrow UA^\mu U^+
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In (13) we have used the row matrix form for the heavy meson field: $H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$. The lowest-order chiral effective Lagrangian in (1) is invariant under the transformations in (13). It is also invariant under heavy quark spin transformation [1–3].

3. Chiral effective Hamiltonian of weak nonleptonic decays of bottom hadrons

In this section we write down the chiral effective Hamiltonian for some typical weak non-leptonic decays of bottom hadrons.

We consider here the Cabibbo-favored decays of type $\Delta b = -1, \Delta c = 0, \Delta s = 1$, i.e. decays of type $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ in the free quark case. The effective Hamiltonian in which both b quark and c quark are considered as heavy in comparison with the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} has been studied in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{eff} &= \frac{G_F V_{bc} V_{cs}}{\sqrt{2}} (C_1'' Q_1'' + C_2'' Q_2'' + \dots) \\
O_1'' &= (\bar{s}\Gamma_\mu h_v^{(b)})(\bar{h}_{v'}^{(c)}\Gamma^\mu h_{\bar{v}}^{(\bar{c})}), \quad O_2'' = (\bar{s}_\beta\Gamma_\mu h_{\alpha v}^{(b)})(\bar{h}_{\alpha v'}^{(c)}\Gamma^\mu h_{\beta \bar{v}}^{(\bar{c})})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with $\Gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)$. In (14), α and β are color indices, C_1'' and C_2'' are Wilson coefficients, $h_v^{(b)}$ indicates the heavy quark field for b quark with velocity v , and the ellipsis represents terms which can be neglected in our discussion.

It is obvious that H_{eff} in (14) transforms under $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ as $(1_R, 1_L) \otimes (1_R, \bar{3}_L) = (1_R, \bar{3}_L)$. Knowing the transformation property of H_{eff} , we can then construct the lowest-order chiral effective Hamiltonian on the hadron level which transforms as $(1_R, \bar{3}_L)$.

A. BOTTOM BARYON DECAYS

We first study decays of a bottom baryon to a charmed baryon, a D meson and Goldstone

and charmed baryons, and ξ represents one or more Goldstone bosons. Let us consider the Goldstone index for the moment. According to the transformation properties given in (13), we find that there are two possible ways to form a chiral effective Hamiltonian corresponding to (14) which transforms as $(1_R, \bar{3}_L)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{eff} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 \\
\mathcal{H}_1 &\sim Tr_G(\bar{B}^{(c)} B^{(b)})(H^{(c)} \xi^+)_i \\
\mathcal{H}_2 &\sim (H^{(c)} B^{(b)} \bar{B}^{(c)} \xi^+)_i
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $i = 3$ corresponds to the s quark in (14). If one studies the Cabibbo-suppressed decays such as those described by (14) with the s quark being replaced by a d quark, i in (15) should be equal to 2. The baryon fields $B^{(c)}$ and $B^{(b)}$ could be either sextet or antitriplet baryons. Note that B_6 and $B_{\bar{3}}$ transform the same way under $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ (See (13)). (15) is the lowest-order chiral effective Hamiltonian in both Goldstone-momentum and $1/m_Q$.

Consider now the structure of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ of (15) in different cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1): B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)} &\rightarrow B_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} + D \text{ (or } D^*) + \xi \\
\mathcal{H}_1 &\sim Tr_G(\bar{B}_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)})(H^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = -2 \bar{T}_i^{(c)} H_j^{(c)} \xi_{j3}^+ T_i^{(b)} \\
\mathcal{H}_2 &\sim (H^{(c)} B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)} \bar{B}_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = \bar{T}_j^{(c)} H_j^{(c)} \xi_{i3}^+ T_i^{(b)} - \bar{T}_i^{(c)} H_j^{(c)} \xi_{j3}^+ T_i^{(b)}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It is easy to see that the term $\mathcal{H}_1$ corresponds to the contribution calculated using the factorization assumption.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2): B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)} &\rightarrow B_6^{(c)} + D \text{ (or } D^*) + \xi \\
\mathcal{H}_1 &\sim Tr_G(\bar{B}_6^{(c)} B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)})(H^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = 0 \\
\mathcal{H}_2 &\sim (H^{(c)} B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)} \bar{B}_6^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{B}_{6kl}^{(c)} H_j^{(c)} \xi_{l3}^+ T_i^{(b)}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}_1$ does not contribute to $B_{\bar{3}}^{(b)} \rightarrow B_6^{(c)} + D \text{ (or } D^*) + \xi$.

$$(3): B_6^{(b)} \rightarrow B_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} + D \text{ (or } D^*) + \xi$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \sim Tr_G(\bar{B}_{\bar{3}}^{(c)} B_6^{(b)})(H^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = 0$$

$$(4): B_6^{(b)} \rightarrow B_6^{(c)} + D \text{ (or } D^*) + \xi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &\sim Tr_G(\bar{B}_6^{(c)} B_6^{(b)}) (H^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = \bar{B}_{6ji}^{(c)} H_k^{(c)} \xi_{k3}^+ B_{6ij}^{(b)} \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &\sim (H^{(c)} B_6^{(b)} \bar{B}_6^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 = \bar{B}_{6jk}^{(c)} H_i^{(c)} \xi_{k3}^+ B_{6ij}^{(b)} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Many $SU(3)$ relations for two-body nonleptonic decays of type $B^{(b)} \rightarrow B^{(c)} + D$ (or D^*) can be obtained immediately by setting $\xi = 1$. We have checked that these relations agree with those existing in the literature [9].

Having established the dependence of the chiral effective Hamiltonian on the Goldstone index, we now incorporate the heavy quark spin symmetry in the chiral effective Hamiltonian.

$$(1) B_3^{(b)}(v) \rightarrow B_3^{(c)}(v') + D(\bar{v}) \text{ (or } D^*(\bar{v}) \text{)} + \xi$$

Generally, $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ has two independent amplitudes and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^{*-}$ four. In [8], it was shown that heavy quark spin symmetry relates $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ to $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^{*-}$. From [8] the most general form of the decay amplitude for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ consistent with heavy spin symmetry is

$$\langle \Lambda_c^+ D_s^- | H_{eff} | \Lambda_b^0 \rangle = \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} \Gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} N \Gamma^\mu u_{\Lambda_b} \quad (20)$$

where $\Gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)$ and N is the most general 4×4 matrix that can be constructed from $v, v', \bar{v}$ and γ -matrices. Following the arguments given in [8] to eliminate v' and $\bar{v}$, one writes

$$N = A + B \not{v} \quad (21)$$

Similarly, for the amplitude for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^{*-}$,

$$\langle \Lambda_c^+ D_s^{*-} | H_{eff} | \Lambda_b^0 \rangle = \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} \Gamma_\mu \not{\epsilon}^* \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} N \Gamma^\mu u_{\Lambda_b} \quad (22)$$

The amplitude for decays involving a ξ -field in the final state can be described by an effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \bar{T}_i^{(c)} \Gamma_\mu H_j^{(c)} N_1 \Gamma^\mu T_{i3}^{(b)} \xi_{j3}^+$$

The ellipsis represents terms involving derivatives of ξ . Note also that we have dropped the second term in $\mathcal{H}_2$ of (16) since it is the same as $\mathcal{H}_1$. $N_{1,2}$ in (23) have the same structure as (21):

$$N_{1,2} = A_{1,2} + B_{1,2} \not{v} \quad (24)$$

A specific flavor combination in decays $B_3^{(b)} \rightarrow B_3^{(c)} + D$ (or D^*) + ξ can receive contribution from either $\mathcal{H}_1$ or $\mathcal{H}_2$ or both. If both $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ contribute, one can combine N_1 and N_2 into $N_1 + N_2 = A + B \not{v}$, where $A_1 + A_2 = A$ and $B_1 + B_2 = B$.

$$(2) \ B_3^{(b)}(v) \rightarrow B_6^{(c)}(v') + D(\bar{v}) \text{ (or } D^*(\bar{v}) \text{)} + \xi$$

The heavy quark spin symmetry constraints on these decays can similarly be built in by using the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{B}_{6kl}^{(c)\rho} \Gamma_\mu H_j^{(c)} N_\rho \Gamma^\mu T_i^{(b)} \xi_{l3}^+ + \dots \quad (25)$$

where N_ρ can be most generally expressed as

$$N_\rho = D_1 v_\rho + D_2 v_\rho \not{v} + D_3 \gamma_\rho + D_4 \gamma_\rho \not{v} \quad (26)$$

Thus all decays in this class can be determined, to the lowest order, by 4 independent amplitudes.

$$(3) \ B_6^{(b)}(v) \rightarrow B_3^{(c)}(v') + D(\bar{v}) \text{ (or } D^*(\bar{v}) \text{)} + \xi$$

Analogous to (25) these decays are generated in the lowest order in Goldstone boson momenta by

$$\mathcal{H} = \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{T}_i^{(c)} \Gamma_\mu H_j N'_\rho \Gamma^\mu B_{6jk}^{(b)\rho} \xi_{l3}^+ + \dots \quad (27)$$

where

$$N'_\rho = E_1 v'_\rho + E_2 v'_\rho \not{v} + E_3 \gamma_\rho + E_4 \gamma_\rho \not{v} \quad (28)$$

$$(4) \ B_6^{(b)}(v) \rightarrow B_6^{(c)}(v') + D(\bar{v}) \text{ (or } D^*(\bar{v}) \text{)} + \xi$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1 &= \bar{B}_{6ji}^{(c)\rho} \Gamma_\mu H_k^{(c)} N_{\rho\lambda} \Gamma^\mu B_{6ij}^{(b)\lambda} \xi_{k3}^+ \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \bar{B}_{6jk}^{(c)\rho} \Gamma_\mu H_i^{(c)} N'_{\rho\lambda} \Gamma^\mu B_{6ij}^{(b)\lambda} \xi_{k3}^+\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

where $N^{\rho\lambda}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}N_{\rho\lambda} &= g_{\rho\lambda}(F_1 + F_2 \not{v}) + \sigma^{\rho\lambda}(F_3 + F_4 \not{v}) \\ &\quad + \bar{v}_\rho \bar{v}_\lambda (F_5 + F_6 \not{v}) + \gamma_\rho \bar{v}_\lambda (F_7 + F_8 \not{v}) + \gamma_\lambda \bar{v}_\rho (F_9 + F_{10} \not{v})\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

$N'_{\rho\lambda}$ has the same structure.

To get explicit expressions for the amplitudes with Goldstone bosons in the final state, one uses the expansion

$$\xi^+ = 1 - \frac{i}{f}M - \frac{1}{f^2}M^2 + \dots\tag{31}$$

If $\xi^+ = 1$ is put in (23) to (29), one gets the most general effective Hamiltonian for $B^{(b)} \rightarrow B^{(c)} + D$ (or D^*) decays constrained by $SU(3)$ symmetry and heavy quark spin symmetry.

If $\xi^+ = (-\frac{i}{f})M$ is substituted into (23) to (29), one obtains the lowest-order contribution for decays involving one Goldstone boson, the so-called “contact term” (or commutator term in the language of current algebra). For example, the contact term for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{D}^0 K^-$ is:

$$\langle \Lambda_c^+ \bar{D}^0 K^- | \mathcal{H} | \Lambda_b^0 \rangle_{\text{contact}} = (-\frac{i}{f}) \langle \Lambda_c^+ \bar{D}^0 | \mathcal{H} | \Lambda_b^0 \rangle\tag{32}$$

The next-order contribution comes from the derivative terms we have neglected and the pole terms. In subsection D we will give an example of how to calculate the pole terms.

B. B -MESON DECAYS TO CHARMED BARYON ANTICHARMED BARYON PAIR AND GOLDSTONE BOSONS

The structure of chiral effective Hamiltonian for B -meson decays to charmed baryon-anticharmed baryon pair and Goldstone bosons $B \rightarrow B^{(c)} \bar{B}^{(c)} \xi$ are very similar to those dis-

$$B_3^{(b)} \rightarrow B_3^{(c)}, \quad B_6^{(b)} \rightarrow B_6^{(c)}, \quad H^{(c)} \rightarrow H^{(b)}$$

Also, the matrices $N_1, N_2, N_\rho, N'_\rho, N_{\rho\lambda}$ and $N'_{\rho\lambda}$ have similar structures. The constraints from the heavy spin symmetry on B -meson decays to charmed baryon-anticharmed baryon pairs $B \rightarrow B^{(c)} \bar{B}^{(c)}$ were first studied in [11].

C. B -MESON DECAYS TO TWO CHARMED MESONS AND GOLDSTONE BOSONS

Here we discuss B -meson decays $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)} \xi$ ($D^{(*)} = D$ or D^*) produced by (14). Requiring again that the chiral effective Hamiltonian transforms as $(1_R, \bar{3}_L)$ under $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, we find (paying attention only to Goldstone indices):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \dots \\ \mathcal{H}_1 &\sim (H^{(b)} \bar{H}^{(c)})(H^{(c)} \xi^+)_3 \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &\sim (H^{(c)} \bar{H}^{(c)})(H^{(b)} \xi^+)_3 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

There are five flavor combinations for two-body decays, obtained by setting $\xi = 1$ in (33): $B^- \rightarrow D^0 D_s^-$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ D_s^-$ receive contribution from $\mathcal{H}_1$ only, $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ and $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0$ from $\mathcal{H}_2$ only, and $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ from both $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$. The symmetry relations among the amplitudes of these decays are the same as those given in [10]. These symmetry relations are also true for decays involving vector D -mesons. Note that $\mathcal{H}_1$ corresponds to the contribution from factorization.

Heavy quark spin symmetry can relate the amplitudes of bottom baryon decays discussed in previous subsections. For example, there are two independent decay amplitudes for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^{*-}$. In [12], it was found that there is no relation among decay amplitudes of decays $B \rightarrow DD_s$, $B \rightarrow DD_s^*$, $B \rightarrow D^* D_s$ and $B \rightarrow D^* D_s^*$. Thus, it is not useful to write the chiral effective Hamiltonian for $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)} \xi$ in the compact form as we did for bottom baryon decays, though this is possible.

We now look at B -meson decays to two charmed mesons plus one Goldstone boson. The

on Goldstone index is obtained by setting $\xi^+ = (-\frac{i}{f}) M$ in (33). For example, for $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^-$, the contact term is

$$\langle D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle_{contact} = (-\frac{i}{f}) \langle D^0 \bar{D}^0 | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle \quad (34)$$

It is easy to see from (33) that decays with one pion, $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)} \pi$, do not have the contact term.

D. POLE TERMS

We now discuss the pole terms. Here, as examples, we give the expressions of pole contributions, for two decays : $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D_s^- \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^{+*} D_s^- \pi^-$. The pole diagrams for these two decays are shown in Fig. 1. Note the D^0 -pole in Fig. 1b does not contribute to $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D_s^- \pi^-$. We first define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^+ D_s^- | \mathcal{H} | \bar{B}^{0*} \rangle &= e_\mu^B \mathcal{M}_{D^+ D_s^-}^\mu \\ \langle D^{+*} D_s^- | \mathcal{H} | \bar{B}^{0*} \rangle &= e_\mu^B \epsilon_{D^{+*} \nu}^* \mathcal{M}_{D^{+*} D_s^-}^{\mu\nu} \\ \langle D^{0*} D_s^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle &= e_\mu^D \mathcal{N}_{D^{0*} D_s^-}^\mu \\ \langle D^0 D_s^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle &= \mathcal{N}_{D^0 D_s^-} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where e_μ^B , e_μ^D and $\epsilon_{D^{+*} \nu}^*$ are polarization vectors of $\bar{B}^{0*}$, D^{0*} and D^{+*} , the vector pole mesons in Fig. 1. As mentioned before, heavy quark spin symmetry does not relate the amplitudes in (35). We find, for $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D_s^- \pi^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^+ D_s^- \pi^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle_{\bar{B}^{0*}-pole} &= i \left(\frac{g_1}{f} \right) \frac{(p_\pi \cdot v) v_\mu - p_{\pi\mu}}{v \cdot p_\pi + \Delta_b} \mathcal{M}_{D^+ D_s^-}^\mu \\ \langle D^+ D_s^- \pi^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle_{D^{0*}-pole} &= -i \left(\frac{g_1}{f} \right) \frac{(p_\pi \cdot v') v'_\mu - p_{\pi\mu}}{v' \cdot p_\pi - \Delta_c} \mathcal{N}_{D^{0*} D_s^-}^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\Delta_b = m_{B^*} - m_B$ and $\Delta_c = m_{D^*} - m_D$, and for $B^- \rightarrow D^{+*} D_s^- \pi^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^{+*} D_s^- \pi^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle_{\bar{B}^{0*}-pole} &= i \left(\frac{g_1}{f} \right) \frac{(p_\pi \cdot v) v_\mu - p_{\pi\mu}}{v \cdot p_\pi + \Delta_b} \epsilon_{D^{+*} \nu}^* \mathcal{M}_{D^{+*} D_s^-}^{\mu\nu} \\ \langle D^{+*} D_s^- \pi^- | \mathcal{H} | B^- \rangle_{D^{0*}-pole} &= \left(\frac{g_1}{f} \right) \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_\pi^\mu \epsilon_{D^{+*}}^{*\nu} v'^\alpha}{v' \cdot p_\pi} \mathcal{N}_{D^{0*} D_s^-}^\beta \end{aligned}$$

Though we use (1) for the coupling constants, we keep $\Delta_b \neq 0$ and $\Delta_c \neq 0$ in (36) and (37). One can write down pole contributions to $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D_s^{-*} \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^{+*} D_s^{-*} \pi^-$ similarly.

As inputs in (35)-(37) one could use $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)}$ decay amplitudes calculated in a factorization approximation which is in good agreement with present data [13].

4. Discussion

In the previous section we have given the lowest-order chiral effective Hamiltonian for various nonleptonic decays of bottom baryons and mesons involving Goldstone bosons. This generates the leading term in Goldstone-momentum expansion. With this Hamiltonian, one can write down the lowest-order contributions, the contact term and pole terms. Obviously all such discussions and results can only be meaningful when the Goldstone bosons involved have small momenta. With three (or more)-body decays, there is always a small region on Dalitz-plot where momenta of Goldstone bosons are small. Taking $B \rightarrow DD_s \pi$ as an example, if one defines,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{12} &= p_D + p_{D_s} \quad ((m_D + m_{D_s})^2 \leq p_{12}^2 \leq (m_B - m_\pi)^2 \simeq m_B^2) \\ p_{13} &= p_D + p_\pi \quad ((m_D + m_\pi)^2 \simeq m_D^2 \leq p_{13}^2 \leq (m_B - m_{D_s})^2) \\ p_{23} &= p_{D_s} + p_\pi \quad ((m_{D_s} + m_\pi)^2 \simeq m_D^2 \leq p_{23}^2 \leq (m_B - m_D)^2) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

then the region where the pion is soft corresponds to

$$p_{12}^2 \simeq m_B^2, \quad p_{13}^2 \simeq m_D^2, \quad p_{23}^2 \simeq m_D^2, \quad (39)$$

or the chiral expansion parameters $v' \cdot p_\pi / \Lambda_\chi$, $\bar{v} \cdot p_\pi / \Lambda_\chi$ and $v \cdot p_\pi / \Lambda_\chi$ should be small. Here Λ_χ is the chiral symmetry breaking scale. We call the above region the “zero-recoil region”. Thus, using the lowest-order amplitudes, for example (36) and (37), one can calculate the decay distributions in the zero-recoil region.

The basic idea in this letter is to provoke applications of chiral perturbation theory to nonleptonic decays of heavy hadrons involving Goldstone bosons, in the zero-recoil region.

to the widely studied semileptonic decays such as $B \rightarrow \pi e \nu$, $B \rightarrow D \pi e \nu$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi e \nu$ [1–4]. As a start, we have given the lowest-order chiral effective Hamiltonian for several typical processes such as $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c D^{(*)}$, $B \rightarrow B^{(c)} \bar{B}^{(c)} \xi$ and $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)} \xi$ ($D^{(*)} = D$ or D^*). Some decays mentioned here, for instance $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} D_s^{(*)} \pi$, may soon be measured.

Finally we want to emphasize that we have assumed the validity of heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry. It is certainly necessary, as the second step, to investigate the symmetry-breaking effects.

This research was supported by a grant to A. N. Kamal from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) R2188.
- [2] G. Burdman and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Lett. **B280** (1992) 287.
- [3] T. M. Yan, H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, and H. L. Yu, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 1148.
- [4] C. L. Y. Lee, L. Ming and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 5040.
- [5] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, T. M. Yan and H. L. Yu, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 5060.
- [6] P. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B285** (1992) 145.
- [7] H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 293.
- [8] B. Grinstein, W. Kilian, T. Mannel and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B363** (1991) 19.
- [9] R. Arora, G. K. Sidana and M. P. Khanna, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 4121.
- [10] M. Savage and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D39** (1989) 3346.
- [11] T. Mannel, W. Roberts and Z. Ryzak, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 875.
- [12] T. Mannel, W. Roberts and Z. Ryzak, Phys. Lett. **B248** (1990) 392.
- [13] M. Neubert, V. Rieckert, B. Stech, and Q. P. Xu, In *Heavy Flavors*, edited by A. J. Buras and M. Linder, Advanced Series on Directions in High Energy Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Pole diagrams for decays $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D_s^- \pi^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D^{+*} D_s^- \pi^-$. The shaded squares denote the strong vertices while the crosses denote the weak vertices.